1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2 3	In the Matter of	)	
4	M. M. 6107	) CASE OF OSTIBE IDIDED THE	
5 6	MUR 6197 SCHMIDT FOR CONGRESS COMMITTEE	) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM	
7	AND PHILLIP A. GREENBERG,	į	
8 9	AS TREASURER	)	
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
1 i	Under the Enforcement Priority System, r	natters that are low-rated	
12		are	
13	forwarded to the Commission with a recommend	ation for dismissal. The Commission has	
14	determined that pursuing low-rated matters comp	ared to other higher rated matters on the	
15	Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its p	rosecutorial discretion to dismiss these cases.	
16	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 619	7 as a low-rated matter.	
17	The complainant, David Krikorian, allege	s that the Schmidt for Congress Committee and	
18	Phillip A. Greenberg, in his official capacity as tr	easurer ("the Committee"), the principal	
19	campaign committee of Ohio Congresswoman Je	an Schmidt, misreported contributions that it	
20	received from the Turkish American Heritage Pol	litical Action Committee ("TURKISHPAC").	
21	Specifically, the complainant asserts that a complain	aint filed by Congresswoman Schmidt with the	
22	Ohio Elections Commission, a state agency, alleg	edly included a sworn, notarized affidavit by	
23	Demir Karsan, the president of TURKISHPAC.	The affidavit avers that TURKISHPAC had	
24	made a \$1,500 contribution to the Committee for	Congresswoman Schmidt's 2008 primary	
25	election campaign and another \$1,500 contribution	on for her 2008 general election campaign, for a	
26	total of \$3,000. According to the complainant, he	owever, Federal Election Commission records	
27	show that TURKISHPAC contributed \$3,300 to	he Committee in 2008-\$1,000 on January 28.	

1	2008, \$1,500 on June 1, 2008 and \$800 on October 15, 2008. The complainant requests that the	
2	Commission investigate the alleged \$300 discrepancy.	
3	In response, the Committee states that its financial disclosure reports, as well as	
4	TURKISHPAC's reports, show identical contribution dates and amounts, totaling \$3,300. With	
5	respect to Mr. Karsan's affidavit, the Committee states that it does not know why Mr. Karsan	
6	stated that the TURKISHPAC had contributed \$3,000, but surmises that Mr. Karsan had made a	
7	"honest error." The Committee further states that it has informed Mr. Karsan of the error and	
8	expects that he will correct his affidavit. Finally, the Committee suggests that if the complainant	
9	wishes to seek an investigation of this matter, the proper forum would be the Ohio Elections	
10	Commission, with which the affidavit was filed, as noted above.	
11	Given that amount of the alleged discrepancy is de minimus, and in furtherance of the	
12	Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement	
13	docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its	
14	prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).	
15	RECOMMENDATIONS	
16	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss	
17	MUR 6197, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel  BY: Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel	
25 26	Complaints Examination & Legal Administration	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	<del></del>
18	
19	

Jeff S. Jordan
Sphervisory Astorney
Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration

Attorney, Complaints Examination & Legal Administration